Faculty of Arts and
Social Sciences

Summary of course evaluation

(Do not use this form for courses within the teacher education)

Summaries for spring term courses should be signed, registered and published before 15 September. For summer and autumn semester courses the equivalent date is 15 March.

Information about the course opportunity

Code	Name		Credits
ISGB01	Database design		7,5 ECTS cr.

Semester	Number of	Type of course	Internet platform
HT2015	registered students	☐ Campus	☐ None
	33	☒ Distance	☒ It's learning
Registration code		☐ Other	☐ Other
26151			

Course coordinator	Examiner
Remigijus Gustas	Peter Bellström

Information about the course evaluation

Date	Number of	Method	Summary made by
2015-11-10	answers	☒ Computor	Remigijus Gustas
	11	☐ Paper	
		☐ Oral	

Strengths of the course according to the students
- the group assignment. good to participate in the entire modelling process
- Practice examples
- The structure in it's learning was easy to follow
- To have a lab in a group so we can try sql.
- Bra att kunna relatera det man lärde sig till labben
- Everything
- The literature was very good.
- very nice course. best so far

Weaknesses of the course according to the students
- Tutor times, as there are students taking this course part time and work during the day. All the filmes should have slides!
- The films were pretty useless, it would be more interessting to actually see the teacher and have some more films about how to use mysql, which you had to find movies on youtube to understand. Overall the course was good but the way we were teached the material could be improved. More films on how to use mysql.
- The reading instruction was a little bit hard some weeks, the questions were to an old literature.
- Handledningen, kändes inte som läraren var förberedd eller kom med relevant feedback. Då normaliseringen var en fjärdedel av tentans poäng borde vi ha jobbat med det mer praktiskt, fanns inga övningar eller liknande bara en film. Skulle ha haft med det i labben så man förstod bättre. Läsanvisningarna behöver ses över då frågorna är ställda utifrån annan kurslitteratur.
- Bättre filmer, nyare.
- The group tasks was far too easy.

Analysis of the evaluation results

Overall quality of the course, literature, practical relevance:
3,8

Modeling task, SQL:
3,8

Your own workload:
3

Students participated in the examination: 33
Successfully passed: 19
Grade VG: 3
Grade G: 16
Not passed (U): 14

Measures planned

Measures taken

How was feedback given to the students?

Further information

Summary made by

Accepted for publication

……………………………………….

Signature

………………………………………

Signature by prefect or equivalent

……………………………………….

Printed name